determines that . . . the action . . . is frivolous [or] fails to state a claim on which relief may be granted." 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B); *Calhoun v. Stahl*, 254 F.3d 845, 845 (9th Cir. 2001); *see also O'Loughlin v. Doe*, 920 F.2d 614, 616 (9th Cir. 1990) (finding a "district court may deny leave to proceed in forma pauperis at the outset if it appears from the face of the proposed complaint that the

26

27

28

- 1 - 11cv2480

## Case 3:11-cv-02480-BEN-WVG Document 4 Filed 01/03/12 PageID.17 Page 2 of 2

action is frivolous").

The claims asserted in the Complaint filed in this case are identical to the claims dismissed in Case No. 11cv577 BEN (WVG). Because Plaintiff's Complaint fails to state a claim for relief and Defendants should not be required to defend against the exact same claims having already obtained dismissal of those claims, Plaintiff's motion to proceed IFP is **DENIED** and the case is **DISMISSED**.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: December 30, 2011

United States District Judge

- 2 - 11cv2480

humin